



Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following Advisory Group members for contributing materials to this product and for participating in interviews and the Delphi process through which we received feedback on initial drafts:

> A. Michael Booth Beth Larson-Steckler Bill Reay Carl Schick Carol Schneider Christina Breault Christine S. Davis Collette Lueck Constance Burgess Constance Conklin David Osher Dawn Hensley Don Koenig Eleanor D. Castillo Frank Rider Gayle Wiler Holly Echo-Hawk Solie Iane Adams Iane Kallal **Jennifer Crawford** Jennifer Taub lim Rast John Burchard Iohn Franz John VanDenBerg Josie Bejarano

Julie Becker

Iulie Radlauer Kelly Pipkins Knute Rotto Kristen Leverentz-Brady Lucille Eber Lvn Farr Marcia Hille Marcus Small Mareasa Isaacs Maria Elena Villar Marlene Matarese Mary Grealish Mary Jo Meyers Mary Stone Smith Michael Epstein Michael Taylor Neil Brown Norma Holt Pat Miles Patti Derr Robin El-Amin Rosalyn Bertram Ruth A. Gammon Ruth Almen Theresa Rea Trina W. Osher Vera Pina

Ten Principles of the Wraparound Process



Introduction

The philosophical principles of wraparound have long provided the basis for understanding this innovative and widely-practiced service delivery model. This value base for working in collaboration and partnership with families extends from wraparound's roots in programs such as Kaleidoscope in Chicago, the Alaska Youth Initiative, and Project Wraparound in Vermont. In 1999, a monograph on wraparound was published that presented 10 core elements of wraparound, as well as 10 practice principles, from the perspective of wraparound innovators. These elements and practice principles spanned activity at the team, organization, and system levels; in other words, some elements were intended to guide direct work that happens with the youth, family and hands-on support people (team level); some referred to work by the agency or organization housing the wraparound initiative (program level); and some guided the funding and community context around the wraparound activities (system level). For many, these original elements and principles became the best means available for understanding the wraparound process. They also provided an important basis for initial efforts at measuring wraparound fidelity.

Many have expressed a need to move beyond a value base for wraparound in order to facilitate program development and replicate positive outcomes. However, wraparound's philosophical principles will always remain the starting point for understanding

Goldman, S.K. (1999). The Conceptual Framework for Wraparound. In Burns, B. J. & Goldman, K. (Eds.), Systems of care: Promising practices in children's mental health, 1998 series, Vol. IV: Promising practices in wraparound for children with severe emotional disorders and their families. Washington DC: Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice.

the model. The current document attempts to make the wraparound principles even more useful as a framework and guide for high-quality practice for youth and families. It describes wraparound's principles exclusively at the youth/family/team level. In doing so, we hope the organizational and system supports necessary to achieve high-quality wraparound practice² will always be grounded in the fundamental need to achieve the wraparound principles for families and their teams. By revisiting the original elements of wraparound, we also capitalized on an opportunity to break complex principles (e.g., "individualized and strengths-based") into independent ones, and make sure the principles aligned with other aspects of the effort to operationalize the wraparound process.

The current document is the result of a small team of wraparound innovators, family advocates, and researchers working together over several months. This team revised the original elements and practice principles and provided them to a much larger national group of family members, program administrators, trainers, and researchers familiar with wraparound. Through several stages of work, these individuals voted on the principles presented, provided feedback on phraseology, and participated in a consensus-building process.

Though far from complete, consensus on the principles as presented here was strong. Nonetheless, you will see as you read descriptions of these 10 principles that there are several key areas where the complexity of wraparound itself hindered realization of a clear consensus among our advisory group. Commentary provided with each principle highlights such tensions and goes into much greater depth about the intentions and implications of each principle.

Considered along with its accompanying materials, we hope that this document helps achieve the main goal expressed by members of the *National Wraparound Initiative* at its outset: To provide clarity on the specific characteristics of the wraparound process model for the sake of communities, programs, and families. Just as important, we hope that this document is viewed as a work in progress, and that it remains

a living document that can be updated as needed based on feedback from an even broader audience of reviewers.

Acknowledgments

Ten Principles of the Wraparound Process

1. Family voice and choice. Family and youth/child perspectives are intentionally elicited and prioritized during all phases of the wraparound process. Planning is grounded in family members' perspectives, and the team strives to provide options and choices such that the plan reflects family values and preferences.

The wraparound process recognizes the importance of long-term connections between people, particularly the bonds between family members. The principle of family voice and choice in wraparound stems from this recognition and acknowledges that the people who have a long-term, ongoing relationship with a child or youth have a unique stake in and commitment to the wraparound process and its outcomes. This principle further recognizes that a young person who is receiving wraparound also has a unique stake in the process and its outcomes. The principle of family voice and choice affirms that these are the people who should have the greatest influence over the wraparound process as it unfolds.

This principle also recognizes that the likelihood of successful outcomes and youth/child and family ownership of the wraparound plan are increased when the wraparound process reflects family members' priorities and perspectives. The principle thus explicitly calls for family voice—the provision of opportunities for family members to fully explore and express their perspectives during wraparound activities—and family choice—the structuring of decision making such that family members can select, from among various options, the one(s) that are most consistent with their

^{2.} Another component of the National Wraparound initiative, originally described in detail in Walker, J.S., Koroloff, N., & Schutte, K. (2003). Implementing high-quality collaborative individualized service/support planning: Necessary conditions. Portland, OR: Research and Training Center on Family Support and Children's Mental Health

own perceptions of how things are, how things should be, and what needs to happen to help the family achieve its vision of well-being. Wraparound is a collaborative process (principle 3); however within that collaboration, family members' perspectives must be the most influential.

The principle of voice and choice explicitly recognizes that the perspectives of family members are not likely to have sufficient impact during wraparound unless intentional activity occurs to ensure their voice and choice drives the process. Families of children with emotional and behavioral disorders are often stigmatized and blamed for their children's difficulties. This and other factors—including possible differences in social and educational status between family members and professionals, and the idea of professionals as experts whose role is to "fix" the family—can lead teams to discount, rather than prioritize, family members' perspectives during group discussions and decision making. These same factors also decrease the probability that youth perspectives will have impact in groups when adults and professionals are present. Furthermore, prior experiences of stigma and shame can leave family members reluctant to express their perspectives at all. Putting the principle of youth and family voice and choice into action thus requires intentional activity that supports family members as they explore their perspectives and as they express their perspectives during the various activities of wraparound. Further intentional activity must take place to ensure that this perspective has sufficient impact within the collaborative process, so that it exerts primary influence during decision making. Team procedures, interactions, and products—including the wraparound plan—should provide evidence that the team is indeed engaging in intentional activity to prioritize the family perspectives.

While the principle speaks of family voice and choice, the wraparound process recognizes that the families who participate in wraparound, like American families generally, come in many forms. In many families, it is the biological parents who are the primary caregivers and who have the deepest and most enduring commitment to a youth or child. In other families, this role is filled by adoptive parents, step-parents, extended family members, or even non-family caregivers. In many cases, there will not be a single, unified "family" perspective expressed during the various activities

of the wraparound process. Disagreements can occur between adult family members/ caregivers or between parents/caregivers and extended family. What is more, as a young person matures and becomes more independent, it becomes necessary to balance the collaboration in ways that allow the youth to have growing influence within the wraparound process. Wraparound is intended to be inclusive and to manage disagreement by facilitating collaboration and creativity; however, throughout the process, the goal is always to prioritize the influence of the people who have the deepest and most persistent connection to the young person and commitment to his or her well-being.

Special attention to the balancing of influence and perspectives within wraparound is also necessary when legal considerations restrict the extent to which family members are free to make choices. This is the case, for example, when a youth is on probation, or when a child is in protective custody. In these instances, an adult acting for the agency may take on caregiving and/or decision making responsibilities vis-à-vis the child, and may exercise considerable influence within wraparound. In conducting our review of opinions of wraparound experts about the principles, this has been one of several points of contention; specifically. how best to balance the priorities of youth and family against those of these individuals. Regardless, there is strong consensus in the field that the principle of family voice and choice is a constant reminder that the wraparound process must place special emphasis on the perspectives of the people who will still be connected to the young person after agency involvement has ended.

2. **Team based.** The wraparound team consists of individuals agreed upon by the family and committed to them through informal, formal, and community support and service relationships.

Wraparound is a collaborative process (see principle 3), undertaken by a team. The wraparound team should be composed of people who have a strong commitment to the family's well-being. In accordance with principle 1, choices about who is invited to join the team should be driven by family members' perspectives.

At times, family members' choices about team membership may be shaped or limited by practical or legal considerations. For example, one or more family members may be reluctant to invite a particular person— e.g., a teacher, a therapist, a probation officer, or a non-custodial ex-spouse—to join the team. At the same time, not inviting that person may mean that the team will not have access to resources and/or inter-

Universally, families and youth were more positive and hopeful when they felt in charge of their lives and were not dependent on the system to meet their needs.

personal support that would otherwise be available. Not inviting a particular person to join the team can also mean that the activities or support that he or she offers will not be coordinated with the team's efforts. It can also mean that the family loses the opportunity to have the team influence that person so that he or she becomes better able to act supportively. If that person is a professional, the team may also lose the opportunity to access services or funds that are available through

that person's organization or agency. Not inviting a particular professional to join the team may also bring undesired consequences; for example, if participation of the probation officer on the wraparound team is required as a condition of probation. Family members should be provided with support for making informed decisions about whom they invite to join the team, as well as support for dealing with any conflicts or negative emotions that may arise from working with such team members. Or, when relevant and possible, the family should be supported to explore options such as inviting a different representative from an agency or organization. Ultimately, the family may also choose not to participate in wraparound.

When a state agency has legal custody of a child or youth, the caregiver in the permanency setting and/or another person designated by that agency may have a great deal of influence over who should be on the

team; however, in accordance with principle 1, efforts should be made to include participation of family members and others who have a long-term commitment to the young person and who will remain connected to him or her after formal agency involvement has ended.

3. Natural supports. The team actively seeks out and encourages the full participation of team members drawn from family members' networks of interpersonal and community relationships. The wraparound plan reflects activities and interventions that draw on sources of natural support.

This principle recognizes the central importance of the support that a youth/child, parents/caregivers, and other family members receive "naturally," i.e., from the individuals and organizations whose connection to the family is independent of the formal service system and its resources. These sources of natural support are sustainable and thus most likely to be available for the youth/child and family after wraparound and other formal services have ended. People who represent sources of natural support often have a high degree of importance and influence within family members' lives. These relationships bring value to the wraparound process by broadening the diversity of support, knowledge, skills, perspectives, and strategies available to the team. Such individuals and organizations also may be able to provide certain types of support that more formal or professional providers find hard to provide.

The primary source of natural support is the family's network of interpersonal relationships, which includes friends, extended family, neighbors, co-workers, church members, and so on. Natural support is also available to the family through community institutions, organizations, and associations such as churches, clubs, libraries, or sports leagues. Professionals and paraprofessionals who interact with the family primarily offer paid support; however, they can also be connected to family members through caring relationships that exceed the boundaries and expectations of their formal roles. When they act in this way, professionals and paraprofessionals too can become sources of natural support.

Practical experience with wraparound has shown that formal service providers often have great difficulty accessing or engaging potential team members from the family's community and informal support networks. Thus, there is a tendency that these important relationships will be underrepresented on wraparound teams. This principle emphasizes the need for the team to act intentionally to encourage the full participation of team members representing sources of natural support.

4. Collaboration. Team members work cooperatively and share responsibility for developing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating a single wraparound plan. The plan reflects a blending of team members' perspectives, mandates, and resources. The plan guides and coordinates each team member's work towards meeting the team's goals.



Wraparound is a collaborative activity—team members must reach collective agreement on numerous decisions throughout the wraparound process. For example, the team must reach decisions about what goals to pursue, what sorts of strategies to use to reach the goals, and how to evaluate whether or not progress is actually being made in reaching the goals. The principle of collaboration recognizes that the team is more likely to accomplish its work when team members approach decisions in an open-minded manner, prepared to listen to and be influenced by other team members' ideas and opinions. Team members must also be willing to provide their own perspectives, and the whole team will need to work to ensure that each member has opportunities to provide input and feels

safe in doing so. As they work to reach agreement, team members will need to remain focused on the team's overarching goals and how best to achieve these goals in a manner that reflects all of the principles of wraparound.

The principle of collaboration emphasizes that each team member must be committed to the team, the team's goals, and the wraparound plan. For professional team members, this means that the work they do with family members is governed by the goals in the plan and the decisions reached by the team. Similarly, the use of resources available to the team—including those controlled by individual professionals on the team—should be governed by team decisions and team goals.

This principle recognizes that there are certain constraints that operate on team decision making, and that collaboration must operate within these boundaries. In particular, legal mandates or other requirements often constrain decisions. Team members must be willing to work creatively and flexibly to find ways to satisfy these mandates and requirements while also working towards team goals.

Finally, it should be noted that, as for principles 1 (family voice and choice) and 2 (team-based), defining wraparound's principle of collaboration raises legitimate concern about how best to strike a balance between wraparound being youth- and family-driven as well as team-driven. This issue is difficult to resolve completely, because it is clear that wraparound's strengths as a planning and implementation process derive from being team-based and collaborative while also prioritizing the perspectives of family members and natural supports who will provide support to the youth and family over the long run. Such tension can only be resolved on an individual family and team basis, and is best accomplished when team members, providers, and community members are well supported to fully implement wraparound in keeping with all its principles.

5. Community-based. The wraparound team implements service and support strategies that take place in the most inclusive, most responsive, most accessible, and least restrictive settings possible; and that safely promote child and family integration into home and community life.

This principle recognizes that families and young people who receive wraparound, like all people, should have the opportunity to participate fully in family and community life. This implies that the team will strive to implement service and support strategies that are accessible to the family and that are located within the community where the family chooses to live. Teams will also work to ensure that family members receiving wraparound have greatest possible access to the range of activities and environments that are available to other families, children, and youth within their communities, and that support positive functioning and development.

6. Culturally competent. The wraparound process demonstrates respect for and builds on the values, preferences, beliefs, culture, and identity of the child/youth and family, and their community.

The perspectives people express in wraparound—as well as the manner in which they express their perspectives—are importantly shaped by their culture and identity. In order to collaborate successfully, team members must be able to interact in ways that demonstrate respect for diversity in expression, opinion, and preference, even as they work to come together to reach decisions. This principle emphasizes that respect toward the family in this regard is particularly crucial, so that the principle of family voice and choice can be realized in the wraparound process.

This principle also recognizes that a family's traditions, values, and heritage are sources of great strength. Family relationships with people and organizations with whom they share a cultural identity can be essential sources of support and resources; what is more, these connections are often "natural" in that they are likely to endure as sources of strength and support after formal services have ended. Such individuals and organizations also may be better able to provide types of support difficult to provide through more formal or professional relationships. Thus, this principle also emphasizes the importance of embracing these individuals and organizations, and nurturing and strengthening these connections and resources so as to help the team achieve its goals, and help the family sustain positive momentum after formal wraparound has ended.

This principle further implies that the team will strive to ensure that the service and support strategies that are included in the wraparound plan also build on and demonstrate respect for family members' beliefs, values, culture, and identity. The principle requires that



team members are vigilant about ensuring that culturally competent services and supports extend beyond wraparound team meetings.

7. Individualized. To achieve the goals laid out in the wraparound plan, the team develops and implements a customized set of strategies, supports, and services.

This principle emphasizes that, when wraparound is undertaken in a manner consistent with all of the principles, the resulting plan will be uniquely tailored to fit the family. The principle of family voice and choice lays the foundation for individualization. That principle requires that wraparound must be based in the family's perspective about how things are for them, how things should be, and what needs to happen to achieve the latter. Practical experience with wraparound has shown that when families are able to fully express their perspectives, it quickly becomes clear that only a portion of the help and support required is available through existing formal services. Wraparound teams are thus challenged to create strategies for providing help and

support that can be delivered outside the boundaries of the traditional service environment. Moreover, the wraparound plan must be designed to build on the particular strengths of family members, and on the assets and resources of their community and culture. Individualization necessarily results as team members collaboratively craft a plan that capitalizes on their collective strengths, creativity, and knowledge of possible strategies and available resources.

8. Strengths based. The wraparound process and the wraparound plan identify, build on, and enhance the capabilities, knowledge, skills, and assets of the child and family, their community, and other team members.

The wraparound process is strengths based in that the team takes time to recognize and validate the skills, knowledge, insight, and strategies that each team member has used to meet the challenges they have encountered in life. The wraparound plan is constructed in such a way that the strategies included in the plan capitalize on and enhance the strengths of the people who participate in carrying out the plan. This principle also implies that interactions between team members will demonstrate mutual respect and appreciation for the value each person brings to the team.

The commitment to a strengths orientation is particularly pronounced with regard to the child or youth and family. Wraparound is intended to achieve outcomes not through a focus on eliminating family members' deficits but rather through efforts to utilize and increase their assets. Wraparound thus seeks to validate, build on, and expand family members' psychological assets (such as positive self-regard, self-efficacy, hope, optimism, and clarity of values, purpose, and identity), their interpersonal assets (such as social competence and social connectedness), and their expertise, skill, and knowledge.

9. Persistence. Despite challenges, the team persists in working toward the goals included in the wraparound plan until the team reaches agreement that a formal wraparound process is no longer required.

This principle emphasizes that the team's commitment to achieving its goals persists regardless of the child's behavior or placement setting, the family's circumstances, or the availability of services in the community. This principle includes the idea that undesired behavior, events, or outcomes are not seen as evidence of child or family "failure" and are not seen as a reason to eject the family from wraparound. Instead, adverse events or outcomes are interpreted as indicating a need to revise the wraparound plan so that it more successfully promotes the positive outcomes associated with the goals. This principle also includes the idea that the team is committed to providing the supports and services that are necessary for success, and will not terminate wraparound because available services are deemed insufficient. Instead, the team is committed to creating and implementing a plan that

reflects the wraparound principles, even in the face of limited system capacity.

It is worth noting that the principle of "persistence" is a notable revision from "unconditional" care. This revision reflects feedback from wraparound experts, including family members and advocates, that for communities using the wraparound process, describing care as "unconditional" may be unrealistic and possibly yield disappointment on the part of youth and family members when a service system or community can not meet their own definition of

Undesired behavior, events, or outcomes are not seen as evidence of child or family "failure" and are not seen as a reason to eject the family from wraparound.

unconditionality. Resolving the semantic issues around "unconditional care" has been one of the challenges of defining the philosophical base of wraparound. Nonetheless, it should be stressed that the principle of "persistence" continues to emphasize the notion that teams work until a formal wraparound process is no

longer needed, and that wraparound programs adopt and embrace "no eject, no reject" policies for their work with families.

10. Outcome based. The team ties the goals and strategies of the wraparound plan to observable or measurable indicators of success, monitors progress in terms of these indicators, and revises the plan accordingly.

This principle emphasizes that the wraparound team is accountable—to the family and to all team members; to the individuals, organizations and agencies that participate in wraparound; and, ultimately, to the public—for achieving the goals laid out in the plan.

Determining outcomes and tracking progress toward outcomes should be an active part of wraparound team functioning. Outcomes monitoring allows the team to regularly assess the effectiveness of plan as a whole, as well as the strategies included within the plan, and to determine when the plan needs revision. Tracking progress also helps the team maintain hope, cohesiveness, and efficacy. Tracking progress and outcomes also helps the family know that things are changing. Finally, team-level outcome monitoring aids the program and community to demonstrate success as part of their overall evaluation plan, which may be important to gaining support and resources for wraparound teams throughout the community.